

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
DISTRICT OF NEVADA

SONIA M. GONZALEZ,

Plaintiff

v.

ANDREW SAUL,

Defendant

Case No.: 2:17-cv-03118-APG-NJK

**Order Accepting Report and
Recommendation, Denying Motion to
Remand, and Granting Motion to Affirm**

[ECF Nos. 19, 21, 27]

On November 15, 2019, Magistrate Judge Koppe recommended that I deny plaintiff Sonia Gonzalez's motion to remand and grant defendant Andrew Saul's motion to affirm. ECF No. 27. Gonzalez did not file an objection. Thus, I am not obligated to conduct a de novo review of the report and recommendation. 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1) (requiring district courts to "make a de novo determination of those portions of the report or specified proposed findings to which objection is made"); *United States v. Reyna-Tapia*, 328 F.3d 1114, 1121 (9th Cir. 2003) (en banc) ("the district judge must review the magistrate judge's findings and recommendations de novo *if objection is made*, but not otherwise" (emphasis in original)).

I THEREFORE ORDER that Magistrate Judge Koppe's report and recommendation (ECF No. 27) is **accepted**, plaintiff Sonia Gonzalez's motion to remand (ECF No. 19) is **DENIED**, and defendant Andrew Saul's motion to affirm (ECF No. 21) is **GRANTED**. The clerk of court is instructed to enter judgment accordingly and close this case.

DATED this 4th day of December, 2019.


ANDREW P. GORDON
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE